

DUMP

~~And to summon the reformers to one great battle at this stage of their tortured history amounts to arousing the Florentines of the 18th century to stand up and fight for the republic.~~

SCHUMER

Murray Kempton once wrote that "To summon the reformers to a great battle (for principles) at this stage of their (tortured) history, amounts to arousing the Florentines of the 18th Century to stand up and fight for their Republic".

LET'S PROVE THAT MURRAY KEMPTON IS WRONG!

At one time being called a 'reform democrat' was a badge of honor. But in recent years there has been so little instances of heroism, integrity, principle and honor, and so many instances of opportunism, cleverness, faciness, alibi-king, and what goes for being practical (but is usually losing both honor and purse), that the title "reform democrat" brings about more grins & smirks than admiration.

Schumer had the unmitigated gall to still ask for a KCDC endorsement when whatever value his name had--with people who don't know he is a machine Judas--was used to aid Esposito against reformers in the 1982 petition process. He--or he let his name be--used to back every machine democrat in his C. D. Anthony Genovesi, Garson, Mrs. Tobin (against Bea Shapiro), Andrew Di Orto (against Ferris), Caracciolo (against McNeil), Finney (against Conroy), Noach Dear (against Dorothy Klein), Ierardi (vs. Barbaro), Black (vs Raguso), Dov Hykind, and the entire Esposito county committee & Judicial Delegate slate against the KCDC.

Schumer says that he did not ask the regulars to be included in their petitions. This sort of implies that he is still not a stooge of Esposito and Anthony Genovesi. So I want to ask Schumer. Which one of Esposito's henchmen are you going to fight against. Garson? Noach Dear? Howard Goldin? Mrs. Tobin? Herbert Lunka? Black? Mel Miller? the 51st A. D. machine democrats? Which ones?

What is the significance of being on the regulars-machine democrats petitions? If a person allows himself to be on the letter head of some phony charity, or if a business man allows himself to be listed on a committee honoring a Hoffa or another racketeer, or if an established charity allows itself to be put on a phony PLO anti-Israel ad, AND IF THEY DO NOT PROTEST, THEN THEY ARE GUILTY of immoral if not criminal activity. Likewise if a reform democrat allows himself to be put on Esposito financed petitions. If he does not protest, he is guilty of selling out to the machine democrats.

The alibi that he did not ask to be put on Esposito petitions is just as phony as that of a police captain getting \$ 1000 a week from a gambling ring, because "he did not ask to be put on the pad" or that of a flirt who after being seduced by a neighborhood libertine, tries to maintain some semblance of virtue by insisting 'she kept her stockings on'

I'm sure that every KCDC delegate despises the spineless Republicans in Nassau County who unanimously changed the Party rules to keep Margiotta on as county leader, even after he was convicted on a felony in insurance graft. I am sure that all of us despise the Brooklyn democrats for their phony alibi that Esposito should still keep his post as county leader (despite the racetrack conflict of interests law) on the grounds that he is not the county leader but only the chairman of the Executive Board.

I'm afraid however that some of the reform democrats show the same cowardice and lack of backbone in regard to their bad apples as the machine republicans and machine democrats show in relation to their bad apples (Margiotta and Esposito) instead of guts.

Schumer should be reproached just as much as Margiotta and Esposito should be reproached. Margiotta and Esposito's ethics are so primitive that they probably feel that they did nothing wrong--just business as usual. Schumer and Solarz (his mentor) are probably so spoiled and concerted that they probably think that they did nothing improper for good reformers either.

These politicians should be taught a lesson. Margiotta's lesson should be a jail sentence. Esposito's lesson should be forfeiture of his county leader post. Schumer's lesson should be in the KCDC delegates rising in virtuous indignation, showing spunk, pride and self respect, and VOTING TO DENY SCHUMER A KCDC ENDORSEMENT 1983